

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Bot. 1490 Advantage of the Commission 22313-1436 www.usgelo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/914,536	08/19/1997	MICHAEL J. STEVENSON	STEVE-106	8667
7.	590 10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
DODEDT E STRAIISS			CAMERON, ERMA C	

ROBERT E. STRAUSS 74527 Moss Rose Drive Palm Desert, CA 92260

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1762

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		. <u></u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/914,536	STEVENSON ET AL.			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Erma Cameron	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appl) a timely filed amendment wh	lication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension					
Extensions of little flag by collable united of 27 h 1.10(a); he date on another process of the flag by the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet Ema Cambon					
ERMA CAMERON					
PRIMARY	EXAMINER	Erma Cameron Primary Examiner			

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the compositions being compared do not have equivalent amounts of the various polyolefins. Therefore the comparison cannot fairly be made between the vatious polyolefins.

Continuation of 10. Other: The arguments on the 112 rejection and the 103 rejection have been raised and answered before.

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Enna Camera